Update -Integrated Grants Training

April, 2013

- April 2011 ASRED/SAAESD Meeting in Greenville, SC
- Used Strategic Opportunities and Science Roadmap – common survey instrument – regional priorities
- It was suggested that AFRI priorities be added
- R & E directors top five priority

- Directors ID faculty/specialists you were willing to support, and who
 - Have interest and competence in the their area
 - Want to participate on an integrated team, including training in team building and proposal development
 - Are willing to travel to Washington, DC for training and to interact with

- More interest than space <u>top 3</u> <u>topic areas</u>
 - Increase productivity, nutritional value and local availability of plant and animal food products
 - Develop new plant and animal production systems, products, and uses to increase economic return and profitability for

- Develop technologies and processes to improve production and conversion efficiency of regionally-appropriate biomass into biofuels and other bioproducts
- Day training, Dec 2011 for 63 (60) (2 people /priority area/institution plus one additional person in one of these areas) –

- Post-workshop evaluation (~50% responded)
- Did your team clearly identify potential funding agencies and programmatic opportunities for your priority area?
 - ■a. Yes 68.8%
 - **■**b. No 31.3%

Did you become part of a team that intends to develop a multistate integrated research and extension project?

- Yes 71.9%
- ■No 28.1%

- How much progress did your team make toward developing the framework for a multistate project?
 - Considerable progress 3.1%
 - Some progress 81.3%
 - No progress
 15.6%

Submit a collaborative and integrated proposal during the next 12 months is likely?

```
a. Highly likely
12.5%
```

- b. Moderately likely 25.0%
- c. Uncertain 37.5%
- d. Moderately unlikely 18.8%
- e. Highly unlikely 6.3%

Would you recommend the Workshop to your peers?

■Yes 87.5%

■No 12.5%

- Suggestions for improvement
 - Excellent workshop, thanks.
 - Great location and well executed
 - Great as is!

- Suggestions for improvement
 - Better integrate teams prior to workshop (most frequent; also obvious)
 - Administrative strategies to organize a large team would have also been helpful
 - Group exercise on writing smart objectives would have been productive

- Suggestions for improvement
 - Add an extra day to look over potential RFP's the team could apply for
 - Need more small group time to develop ideas and learn team strengths
- Tried to build these into workshop2

- At Spring 2012 meetings of ASRED and SAAESD, you approved #2
- Same purpose different selection process
 - Readily identifiable groups of faculty working together on a focused issue(s) for more than one year
 - Faculty from multiple institutions and disciplines/specialties

- Balance of research-focused and extension-focused faculty
- Objective(s) appropriate for a large integrated multi-institutional grant
- Group interested in participating
- Directors facilitated collection of Group, Goals/Objectives, Names of participants

- Received 24 group nominations representing 13 institutions
- -9 Groups (N = 72; n/group)
 - Mid-South Working Group for Fresh Produce Safety (11)
 - Multi-State Research, Education, and Extension Produce Initiative (11)
 - SERA 041 Forage Livestock Systems in the Southeastern United States (6)

- Groups
 - Poultry Production Systems reducing emissions and carbon footprint and adapting to climate change (7)
 - Safety and Quality of Poultry (4)
 - ■S1042: Modeling for TMDL Development, and Watershed Planning, Management and Assessment (5)
 - Coutharn Dagian Historia

- Groups
 - Water Resources Management in the South (11)
 - Climate Change Working Group (8).
- Resource Persons 2
 - Keith Delaplane UGA Professor and National Director, Managed Pollinator CAP
 - Rick Huszagh CEO and Co-Founder, Down to Earth Energies, Monroe, GA

- -2-day workshop, Nov 27-28, 2012
- Changes
 - A Priori Teams
 - More time for team interaction
 - Administrative strategies (resource ppl)
 - More team work on writing objectives
 - Did not add a day to search for potential RFPs but did grants.gov, foundations, etc.generally

- Followed up with evaluation 53% responded
- All presentation topics rated between Good to Very Good
- Workshop logistics G to Excellent
- Team Work Sessions Helpful 81% Agree/SA
- Resources Persons Added Value 65% Agree/SA

- Writing Exercises Helped Me Better
 Understand How to Write Effective
 Goals and Objectives 83% Agree/SA
- Workshop Was Beneficial to Me and I Would Recommend it to Others – 91% Agree/SA
- Discussion Sessions Helped Me Better Understand Principles Being Conveyed – 78% Agree/SA

- How Likely Is It That the Team You Were in During the Workshop Will Develop A Proposal – 29% Somewhat Likely; 60% Very Likely
- Comments
 - Thanks! It was great for pulling together a new multi-state team. We will move forward with one or more submissions, thanks to this meeting.

- I really appreciated this opportunity and we are in the process already of submitting one letter of intent and plan to submit a second.
- Varied depends greatly on nature of team history and fit and experience
- Offered to facilitate meetings, team building, conference calls, etc

- Arranged meeting with NIFA reps Dr. Tom Bewick, National Program Leader, Division of Plant Systems
- Helped to ID team members SR Risk Management Education Center, Ron Rainey - Local Food Systems Research and Extension

What Now?

- Instead of 3rd workshop in 2013,
 - Check progress and impact of workshops – - teams solidified, letters of intent, proposals written, grants funded/ID problems, obstacles, issues
 - DC agency meetings (Travel?)